UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

HUGH MOSLEY,	
Plaintiff,	Case No. 2:12-cv-02053-JAD-NJK
vs. NEW CASTLE CORP., et al	ORDER DENYING MOTION TO STAY DISCOVERY (Docket No. 25)
Defendants.)))

Pending before the Court is Defendants' motion to stay discovery pending a ruling on the motion to dismiss. Docket No. 25. Defendants provide no support for their request other than the fact that a potentially dispositive motion to dismiss is pending. *Id.*, at 11. The pendency of a motion to dismiss alone does not in itself stay discovery. See, e.g., Tradebay, LLC v. eBay, Inc., 278 F.R.D. 597, 600 (D. Nev. 2011) ("The Federal Rules of Civil Procedure do not provide for automatic or blanket stays of discovery when a potentially dispositive motion is pending"). Instead, parties seeking to stay discovery must make a showing that such a stay is proper under the standards announced in *Tradebay*, 278 F.R.D. at 601-03. As no such showing has been made here, the motion to stay discovery is **DENIED** without prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: October 2, 2013

NANCY J. KOPPE United States Magistrate Judge